



Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis

**Magnetrol Model TA2
Thermal Dispersion Transmitter**

Table of Contents

A.	Description	3
B.	Management Summary	3
C.	Failure Modes, Effects, And Diagnostic Analysis	3
1.	Standards	3
2.	Definitions	4
3.	Assumptions	5
4.	Failure Rates	5
5.	Safe Failure Fraction	5
6.	PFD_{ave}	6
D.	Lifetime Of Critical Components	6
E.	Liability	6
F.	Release Signatures	6

A. Description

This report describes the results of the Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA) of the Magnetrol Model TA2 Thermal Dispersion Transmitter. The FMEDA performed on the Magnetrol TA2 includes all electronics and related hardware. For full certification purposes, the Model TA2 software along with all requirements of IEC 61508 must be considered.

B. Management Summary

This report summarizes the results of the Failure Modes, Effects and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA) of the Magnetrol Model TA2 Thermal Dispersion Transmitter. The FMEDA was performed to determine failure rates, and the Safe Failure Fraction (SFF), which can be used to achieve functional safety certification per IEC 61508 of a device.

The Model TA2 is a **Complex Device** classified as **Type B** according to IEC 61508 having a hardware fault tolerance of 0. This product is a smart 4 wire transmitter that provides a 4–20mA output that is proportional to the flow rate of the measured process. The unit contains self diagnostics programmed to output either 3.6 mA or 22 mA during a failure state. The FMEDA analysis assumes the diagnostic signal is being transmitted to a logic solver capable of detecting over-scale and under-scale currents.

Failure rates of the Model TA2 Thermal Dispersion Transmitter are:

λ^H	$19 * 10^{-9}$ failures per hour
λ^L	$324 * 10^{-9}$ failures per hour
λ^{DU}	$323 * 10^{-9}$ failures per hour

Table 1: IEC 61508 Format Failure Rates

Failure Category	λ^{SD}	λ^{SU}	λ^{DD}	λ^{DU}	SFF
Low Trip	324	376	19	323	69.0%
High Trip	19	376	324	323	69.0%

These failure rates can be used in a probabilistic model of a Safety Instrument Function (SIF) to determine suitability in part for Safety Instrumented System (SIS) usage in a particular Safety Integrity Level (SIL). A more complete listing of failure rates is provided in Table 2.

C. Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis

1. Standards

This evaluation is based on the following:

IEC 61508:2000 Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Safety Related Systems

SILVER (FMEDA Tool V4R0.6a), a failure rate database developed by *exida.com*.

The rates have been chosen in a way that is appropriate for safety integrity level verification calculations. Actual field failure results with average environmental stress are expected to be superior to the results predicted by these numbers. The user of this information is responsible for determining the applicability to a particular environment.

2. Definitions

FMEDA	A Failure Modes Effect and Diagnostic Analysis is a technique which combines online diagnostic techniques and the failure modes relevant to safety instrumented system design with traditional FMEA techniques which identify and evaluate the effects of isolated component failure modes.
Diagnostic Coverage	Failure rate found through internal automatic diagnostic testing. The percentage of failures compared to the total failure rate in any mode. Options are set to locate failures that cause the unit to go to 3.6 mA or 22 mA for the current output. The upscale or downscale setting is user selectable.
Fail Safe	A non-process failure that forces the output to a fail-safe state. The fail-safe state for a 4-20 mA loop is typically a loop value below 3.6 mA. These failures are categorized as safe detected or safe undetected failures.
Fail Dangerous	A failure that makes either the measured input value or the calculated output value change by more than 2% (of span), but the output still stays within the valid output range.
Fail Dangerous Detected	Dangerous failures that are detected by the device typically by internal diagnostics. These failures can be detected by the logic solver.
Fail Dangerous Undetected	Dangerous failures that are not detected by the device and, therefore, are not detected by the logic solver.
Fail Low	The fault indication is active (current output < 4 mA).
Fail High	The fault indication is active (current output > 20 mA).
No Effect	Faults that have no impact on the safety function of the device.

3. Assumptions

- The failure categories listed are only safe and dangerous, both detected and undetected. Fail high and fail low can be classified as safe detected by a logic solver. The No Effect category represents component failure modes that have no effect on the safety function (classified as fail safe according to IEC 61508 but will not cause a false trip). These failures are used in the Safe Failure Fraction calculation.
- Failure of one part will fail the entire unit.
- Failure rates are constant; normal wear and tear is not included.
- Increase in failures is not relevant.
- Components that cannot have an affect on the safety function are not considered in the analysis.
- The logic solver programming is such that Fail High (>20 mA) and Fail Low (< 4 mA) failures are detected regardless of the effect (good or bad) on the safety function.
- The average temperature over a long period of time is 40°C.
- The stress levels are typical for an industrial environment and can be compared to the Ground Fixed classification of MIL-HNBK-217F.
- The failure rates of the device supplying power to Magnetrol's device are not included.

4. Failure Rates

Table 2: Model TA2 Failure Rates

Failure Category		Failure rate (in Fits)
Fail High (detected by logic solver)		19
Fail Low (detected by logic solver)		324
Fail detected (int. diag.)	202	
Fail low (inherently)	122	
Fail Dangerous Undetected		323
No effect		376

Table 2 assumes that a detected failure will force the output downscale (less than or equal to 3.6 mA) and that downscale is the fail-safe condition.

5. Safe Failure Fraction

Table 3: Model TA2 Safe Failure Fraction

Model	SFF
TA2	69.0 %

Because the SFF is greater than 60%, and the Model TA2 is a Type B device, it is suitable for SIL 1.

6. PFD_{ave}

The Model TA2 is a 1001 (one out of one) level transmitter. The average Probability of Failure on Demand (PFD_{ave}) for a one year Proof Test is:

$$\text{PFD}_{\text{ave}}(1\text{yr}) = (\lambda^{\text{DU}} / 2) * 1 \text{ yr} = (3.23 * 10^{-7} / 2) * 8760 \text{ hr} = 1.42 * 10^{-3}$$

This PFD_{ave} value is less than 10⁻¹ and suitable for Type B SIL 1 application.

SIL range (max): 0.1

PFD_{ave}(1yr) % of SIL Range: 1.42 %


D. Lifetime Of Critical Components

The limiting factors to the useful lifetime of the Model TA2 are the use of electrolytic capacitors. Therefore, the useful lifetime should be limited to 50 years,


E. Liability

The FMEDA analysis is based on *exida.com*'s *SILVER* Tool. Magnetrol and *exida.com* accept no liability whatsoever for the use of these numbers or for the correctness of the standards on which the general calculation methods are based.

F. Release Signatures



Name: Paul Snider
Title: Sr. Compliance Engineer
Date: March 24, 2005



Name: John S. Benway
Title: Evaluation Engineering Manager
Date: March 24, 2005